

# **Monitoring • Evaluation**

Approved August 12, 1991 • Amended June 8, 2009

POLICY DOCUMENT

**THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK**

## **MONITORING & EVALUATION OF THE ORLANDO 1991 GROWTH MANAGEMENT PLAN UPDATE**

This Monitoring & Evaluation Section identifies five-year monitoring, updating and evaluation procedures to be followed in the preparation of the required five-year evaluation and appraisal report for the Growth Management Plan.

### **Citizen Participation in the Monitoring and Evaluation Process**

Public participation in the monitoring, updating and evaluation of this Growth Management Plan (GMP) will consist of four parts:

- Opportunities for review and comment by the general public
- Review and comment by citizens groups and special interests
- Review and comment by a citizen review committee appointed by the Mayor and City Council
- Review by the Local Planning Agency and adoption by the City Council

Procedures used for this four part public participation process will be generally similar to those described in the public participation document of this GMP; however, the Land Planning Agency shall review the procedures and recommend any modifications to them prior to the commencement of updating and evaluation of the Plan.

### **Updating of Baseline Data, Analysis and Goals, Objectives and Policies**

The first step in the five-year monitoring, updating and evaluation of the GMP will be the updating of baseline data. The City's Land Development Monitoring system (LDMS) will be used in this process (see Population and Economic Projections, pp. PE-1 to PE-2, for a detailed description of the LDMS).

The accuracy of the updated baseline data for the five-year monitoring, updating and evaluation of the GMP will be significantly improved through the use of 1990 U.S. Census data. The LDMS Data will be cross-checked with Census figures, and any necessary corrections will be made. Twenty-year growth projections for population, seasonal population, housing and other land uses will then be updated in accordance with 9J-5.005(2).

### **Accomplishments, Obstacles and Problems**

The second step in the five-year update will be updating of the Plan's analytical sections. This review will include an evaluation of accomplishments in the first five-year period and the degree to which the goals, objectives and policies of the Plan have been successfully reached. In addition, the analysis will evaluate obstacles or problems which resulted in any underachievement of the Plan's goals, objectives and policies.

Following the analytical update and based on its findings, the third step in the five-year update will be the re-evaluation and revision of the adopted Level of Service (LOS) standards of the GMP.

### **New or Modified Goals, Objectives and Policies**

The final step in the five-year monitoring, updating and evaluation of the GMP will be the preparation of new or modified goals, objectives and policies needed to correct discovered problems. This final step builds on the update of the baseline data and projections, the analytical update and the recommended revised LOS standards.

### **Continuous Monitoring and Evaluation of the Plan**

The monitoring and evaluation of the Growth Management Plan following its adoption does not occur just once during the five-year period. Rather, it is a continuous process with many points at which individuals goals, objectives and policies can be re-evaluated and amended on a case-by-case basis, and which culminates with the major update of the Plan resulting from the five-year evaluation and appraisal report.

Three kinds of continuous monitoring and evaluation are particularly important. First, amendments to GMP goals, objectives and policies and the Future Land Use Map may be made by the City Council twice per year. Amendment applications may be made either by the public in order to accommodate the needs of property owners, citizen groups or special interests, or by the City itself as a result of its on-going monitoring and evaluation of the Plan's effectiveness.

Second, the Growth Management Act requires that the Capital Improvements Element (CIE) be reviewed and updated on an annual basis through the preparation of an annual report. The annual report will be reviewed and adopted as part of the budgeting process in preparing the Annual Budget and Capital Improvements Program. Thus, the annual CIE review serves to continually monitor and evaluate the effectiveness of those aspects of the GMP related to LOS standards and capital projects implementation.

Third, the City is required to revise the Land Development Code to include a Concurrency Management System by January of 1992. The Concurrency Management System will determine the availability of capacity and monitor the impact new development will have on the provision of service for the following public facilities: roads, transit, sewer, potable water, solid waste, stormwater and parks. A concurrency determination will be required for all final development approvals. Roads, sewer, stormwater, and parks will require a concurrency determination before a final development permit can be issued; while transit, potable water and solid waste Level of Service standards will be reviewed for capacity availability and provision of service on an annual basis. An Annual Capacity Report will be prepared at the end of each year which addresses the City's ability to achieve and maintain adopted Level of Service standards for each public facility and the availability of capacity and provision of service for the upcoming year.